Spontaneous CP violation and quark mass ambiguities

Michael Creutz

Brookhaven National Laboratory

Two entwined topics

- For what quark masses is CP spontaneously broken?
- $m_u = 0$ is not a physically meaningful concept.

M.C., PRL 92:201601 (2004) and PRL 92:162003 (2004)

Followup to M.C., Confinement II (1996)

Assumptions

- QCD exists and confines
- Effective chiral Lagrangians are qualitatively correct
- Anomaly: a single massless quark gives no exact Goldstone bosons

Based on old ideas

- Dashen (1971)
- Georgi and McArthur (1981); Kaplan and Manohar (1986)
- Banks, Nir and Seiberg (1994)
- MC (1995, 1996)

The effective meson theory $\Sigma = \exp(i\pi_\alpha \lambda_\alpha / f_\pi) \;\in$ SU(3)

- three flavors: up, down, strange
- λ_α: generators of SU(3)
- π_α: pseudoscalar octet fields

Chiral symmetry $\Sigma \to g_L^\dagger \, \Sigma \, g_R$

- explicitly broken by quark masses

$$L = \frac{f_\pi^2}{4} \mathrm{Tr}(\partial_\mu \Sigma^\dagger \partial_\mu \Sigma) - v \; \mathrm{Re} \; \mathrm{Tr}(\Sigma M)$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_s \end{pmatrix}$$

Expand to quadratic order in meson fields

- diagonalize to find meson masses $m_{\pi^\pm}^2 \sim m_u + m_d$

Isospin violating $m_d - m_u$ mixes π^0 and η

$$m_{\pi^0}^2 \sim \frac{2}{3}\left(m_u + m_d + m_s - \sqrt{m_u^2 + m_d^2 + m_s^2 - m_u m_d - m_u m_s - m_d m_s}\right)$$

$$m_\eta^2 \sim \frac{2}{3}\left(m_u + m_d + m_s + \sqrt{m_u^2 + m_d^2 + m_s^2 - m_u m_d - m_u m_s - m_d m_s}\right)$$

$m_{\pi_0}^2$ vanishes when $m_u = \frac{-m_s m_d}{m_s + m_d}$

- chiral limit not required

Negative quark masses do unusual things

- anomaly makes sign of mass significant

Usual case:

- vacuum at maximum of $\mathrm{ReTr}\Sigma$
- occurs at $\Sigma = I$

Negative degenerate masses:

- vacuum at minimum of $\mathrm{ReTr}\Sigma$
- $-I$ NOT in $SU(3)$
- two solutions: $\Sigma = \exp(\pm 2\pi i/3)$

CP: $\Sigma \to \Sigma^*$

- spontaneously broken



With negative quark masses $m^2_{\pi^0}$ can vanish

$$m_u = \frac{-m_s m_d}{m_s + m_d}$$

- boundary for pion condensed phase $\langle \pi^0 \rangle \neq 0$

Similar boundaries at appropriate branches of

$$m_u = \frac{-m_s m_d}{\pm m_s \pm m_d}$$

Non-trivial vacuum states

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\phi_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\phi_1 - i\phi_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$m_u \sin(\phi_1) = m_d \sin(\phi_2) = -m_s \sin(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$$

- second order transition at $m_{\pi^0} = 0$
- two degenerate vacua related by $\phi_i \leftrightarrow -\phi_i$

(m_u, m_d) plane at fixed m_s:



Boundaries at

$$m_u = \frac{-m_s m_d}{\pm m_s \pm m_d}$$

Hold heavier quark masses m_s and m_d fixed

- look at complex m_u plane



Nothing significant occurs at $m_u = 0$ when $m_d \neq 0$

- First order transition along negative $\mathrm{Re}\ m$ axis
- second order critical point at non-zero $\mathrm{Re}\ m < 0$
- spontaneous breaking of CP, order parameter: $\langle \pi_0 \rangle$
- Di Vecchia and Veneziano (1980)

Does $m_u = 0$ have any physical significance?

- not a well posed question if $m_d \neq 0,\ m_s \neq 0$
- unacceptable solution to the strong CP problem

Concept of an ‘‘underlying basic Lagrangian’’ does not exist

- must regulate divergences
- only underlying symmetries significant
- a single massless quark gives no special symmetry
- anomaly: no exact Goldstone bosons at $m_u = 0$

Continuum theory defined as a limit

- bare parameters: coupling g and quark masses m_i
- renormalize to zero in continuum limit

Renormalization group equations

- $a = 1/\Gamma$ cutoff $\leftrightarrow$ physical scale $1/\mu$

$$a\frac{d}{da}g = \beta(g) = \beta_0 g^3 + \beta_1 g^5 + \ldots + \text{ non-perturbative}$$
$$a\frac{d}{da}m = m\gamma(g) = m(\gamma_0 g^2 + \gamma_1 g^4 + \ldots) + \text{non-perturbative}$$

$\beta_0,\ \beta_1,\ \gamma_0$ scheme independent

$$\beta_0 = \frac{11-2n_f/3}{(4\pi)^2} = .0654365977 \qquad (n_f = 1)$$
$$\beta_1 = \frac{102-12n_f}{(4\pi)^4} = .0036091343 \qquad (n_f = 1)$$
$$\gamma_0 = \frac{8}{(4\pi)^2} = .0506605918$$

“Non-perturbative” parts

- fall faster than any power of g as $g \to 0$
- not proportional to quark mass

Solution

$$a = \frac{1}{\Lambda} e^{-1/2\beta_0 g^2} g^{-\beta_1/\beta_0^2} (1 + O(g^2))$$
$$m = M g^{\gamma_0/\beta_0} (1 + O(g^2))$$

Continuum limit $a \to 0$

$$g^2 \sim \frac{1}{\log(1/\Lambda a)} \to 0 \qquad \text{“asymptotic freedom”}$$
$$m \sim M \left(\frac{1}{\log(1/\Lambda a)} \right)^{\gamma_0/\beta_0} \to 0$$

Physical quantities fixed along renormalization group trajectory

- $m_p,\ m_\pi$

$\Lambda,\ M$: "integration constants"

- Λ: "QCD scale"
- M: "renormalized quark mass"



$$\Lambda = \lim_{a\to 0} \frac{e^{-1/2\beta_0 g^2} g^{-\beta_1/\beta_0^2}}{a}$$

$$M = \lim_{a\to 0}\ m g^{-\gamma_0/\beta_0}$$

Numerical values of $\Lambda,\ M$ depend on scheme

Physical masses map onto the integration constants

- $\Lambda = \Lambda(m_p, m_\pi) \qquad M = M(m_p, m_\pi)$
- inverting $\longrightarrow m_i = m_i(\Lambda, M)$
- dimensional analysis: $\qquad m_i = \Lambda f_i(M/\Lambda)$

Multiple degenerate fermions

- expect Goldstone bosons
- $m_\pi^2 \sim m_q$
- square root singularity $\qquad f_\pi(x) \sim x^{1/2}$
- removes any additive ambiguity in defining M

One masslessflavor $m_\pi = \Lambda f_\pi(M/\Lambda)$

- no chiral symmetry
- no Goldstone bosons
- $m_\pi = 0$ occurs at negative quark mass
- $f_\pi(x)$ smooth, non-vanishing at $x = 0$





Non-perturbative contributions to mass flow

- not proportional to quark mass
- "instantons" flip all quark spins
- $\Delta m_u \sim \frac{m_d m_s}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{qcd}}}, \quad \Lambda_{\mathrm{qcd}}$

$m_u = 0$ is NOT renormalization group invariant

Matching between schemes

Preserve lowest order perturbative limit as $g \to 0$ at fixed scale a

$$\tilde{g} = g + O(g^3) + \text{non-perturbative}$$

$$\tilde{m} = m(1 + O(g^2)) + \text{non-perturbative}$$

- "non-perturbative" vanishes faster than any power of g
- Integration constants Λ, M depend on scheme chosen

Fixed a not the continuum limit

- $g \to 0$ at fixed a: perturbation theory on free quarks
- $a \to 0$ at fixed g: diverges
- $a, g \to 0$ on RG trajectory: confinement

Example new scheme:

- $\tilde{a} = a$
- $\tilde{g} = g$
- $\tilde{m} = m - M g^{\gamma_0/\beta_0} \times \frac{e^{-1/2\beta_0 g^2} g^{-\beta_1/\beta_0^2}}{\Lambda a}$

Non-perturbative redefinition of parameters makes

$$\tilde{M} \equiv \lim_{a \to 0} \tilde{m} \tilde{g}^{-\gamma_0/\beta_0} = M - M = 0$$

A scheme always exists where the renormalized quark mass vanishes!

$M = 0$ is not a physical concept!

Degenerate quarks:

- define massless by the location of the square root singularity

On the lattice

Renormalization flows depend on details of lattice action

- Wilson -- Staggered -- Domain wall -- Overlap

Overlap not unique

- depends on Dirac operator being projected
- starting with Wilson: input negative mass is adjustable

The one flavor theory dynamically generates a gap

- appears in the spectrum of the Dirac operator
- size of gap not protected by the overlap projection

Can $M = 0$ be preserved between schemes?

- not guaranteed by the Ginsparg-Wilson condition

Topological Susceptibility

With a GW action:

- massless quark synonymous with zero topological susceptibility

Is topological susceptibility uniquely defined for $N_f < 2$?

- Luscher: no perturbative infinities

Admissibility condition

- forbid plaquettes further than a finite distance δ from the origin
- removes ‘‘rough’’ gauge fields
- gives a unique winding number

Theorem: MC, hep-lat/0409017

- admissibility incompatible with reflection positivity
- proof an extension of Grosse and Kuhnelt, 1982

CONCLUSIONS

Strong interactions can spontaneously violate CP

- large regions of parameter space
- negative quark masses

$m_u = 0$ is not a meaningful concept

- not a solution to the strong CP problem
- topological susceptibility not uniquely defined for $N_f < 2$

Available simulation algorithms cannot explore this physics

- sign problem